REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

General (G) comments require resolution but do not require resolution acceptance Mandatory (M) comments require resolution and resolution acceptance 1 A03-PPG-004 provides complete definitions of General and Mandatory comments	ot require resolutions of General	olution but do n des complete c	(G) comments require res	General acceptar
OU5 REVRI Report (Woman Creek Priority Drainage) Title	<u>Draft</u> Draft or Final	Rev	nt <u>RF/ER 95-0098</u> Number	Document
9100 8663 Comment Due Date August 31, 1995 Phone fax	080 Bldg	her	Return comments toCarol Bicher Name	Return co

24Hol	The Preliminary Evaluation of Remedial Action Objectives is required by the OU5 Work Plan. The text in this section only states that the process will be done if further action was warranted.	Suggest deleting Section 8 0 Preliminary Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives since the summary/recommendation is that no action is warranted	σ.		۵
10/4 K5	Agree The ERA results have been included in the Executive Summary	Include the ERA results in the executive summary. There was not a place holder for it in the ES.	:	83	G
1. Heiz	Disagree It is mentioned in Section 8 0	Suggest deleting reference to ARARs	7744	list of acronyms	េ
- Salas	The approved cover sheet will be used It includes Kaiser Hill and RMRS logos	Document cover/title does not include KH		Cover	ଜ
Disposition accepted initial & date	DISPOSITION	COMMENT	SECTION or LINE #	PAGE	TYPE G or M

				_
V6490 /	No Comi	ດ	ດ 	ଦ
	No Comments a Brooks Reviewer's Name		8 3	list of acronyms
T1200 / EDAWARI / Kaiser Hill	me	ω	:	I
	Signature	Suggest deleting Section 8 0 Preliminary Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives since the summary/recommendation is that no action is warranted		Suggest deleting reference to ARARs
/95 Page 1 of	Resolutions Accepted M. M. Babelo Signature	The Preliminary Evaluation of Remedial Action Objectives is required by the OU5 Work Plan The text in this section only states that the process will be done if further action was warranted	Agree The ERA results have been included in the Executive Summary	Disagree It is mentioned in Section 8 0
•	(0)4/RS	SHAD!	54 HO.	10 Has

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

NIN BECOBD

2 of _2	Page 2 of	/ / Kaiser Hill Date		Ext./Pager/Fax	Ext./I
			me	rooks Reviewer's Name	Laura Brooks Revie
TO A THE	Groundwater was considered in the risk assessment. Results of the modeling and sampling shows that ground water COCs and surface water COCs are not the same (after screening) and it is appears that ground water does not contribute to surface water COCs Contaminant monitoring well selection being completed by the Well Evaluation Project is targeting drainages as areas of continued monitoring	Expect comments from EPA and the CDPHE that groundwater was not considered in the risk assessment. Will need to be able to convince the regulators that groundwater and the groundwater/surface water interface are being addressed in the sitewide groundwater management strategy.			ര
-offer Age	Agree Comment noted	Based on a future land use that does not include residential use and recommendation is for no action Anticipate comments from CDPHE that to get a no action decision would need to be able to free release the site which would mean site could be used for residential use (To ensure that residential use is not going to occur DOE would need an institutional control in place An institutional control is an Action.)		•	ດ
Disposition accepted initial & date	DISPOSITION	COMMENT	SECTION or LINE #	PAGE	TYPE G or M

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

14/05 14/05	A final technical edit has been done	A technical edit is needed			G
		radionuclides in order to satisfy the requirements within DOE Order 5400 5 Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment to show that doses do not exceed the 100 millirem annual dose limit set forth in the DOE Order This analysis was not performed in this risk assessment and should be completed and included in the draft final document.	: !		: :
10/4/95	This analysis has been performed and included in the draft final document	Dose assessments as opposed to risk estimates must be performed for			M
Disposition accepted initial & date	DISPOSITION	COMMENT	SECTION or LINE #	PAGE	TYPE G or M

f.	
X8465 / 7441 / Ext./Pager/Fax	No Comments Mary Lee Hogg Reviewer's Name
T130C / ER/WM&I / Kaiser Hill 8/23/95 Bidg / Dept / Company Date	ANOTAL SHOOM
Page 1 of1_	Resolutions Accepted White House 1014145 Signature Date